

REMARKS

Applicant's respectfully traverse the rejection over Whitehead in view of Sun. Whitehead neither teaches nor fairly suggests the inventive claimed structure of Applicant's.

Applicant's claims would read on a structure such as Fig. 9 of the application. The resonator is of an "omega" configuration. As specifically noted at paragraph 68 of the application as originally filed, the various turns of the resonator 80 result in the "resonator 80 turning through 540°"). It is further critical to note that the "handedness" of the turn varies. In Fig. 9, tracing from the left most portion of resonator 80, the first 180° turn is again of right handedness.

The claims expressly require that the resonator "turns including at least one left hand turn and one right hand turn". Figs. 3 and 11 of Whitehead cited by the Examiner, do not show a structure with turns of multiple handedness. Rather, they show multiple structures each of which has a single handedness.

Nor may the combination of the three layers in the figures be said to meet or fairly teach the limitations of the claims. Specifically, the claims require that the resonator be formed on the planar substrate. Whitehead needs to use multiple structures, sometimes provided in a "jellyroll" configuration, so as to provide overlapping structures for capacitive coupling.

Whitehead is materially different from Applicant's structure. Applicant requests that the claims be allowed.

Patent
Attorney Docket: 844,004-308

Respectfully submitted,

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Dated: Feb 6, 2006

By: David B. Murphy

David B. Murphy
Reg. No. 31,125
Attorneys for Applicant

DBM/dnd

34263

O'Melveny & Myers LLP
610 Newport Center Dr, 17th Floor
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 760-9600

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE